# FOUNDATION FOR INTELLIGENT PHYSICAL AGENTS

# FIPA Agent Message Transport Envelope Representation in XML Specification

| Document title  | FIPA Agent Message Transport Envelope Representation in XML Specification   |                        |                       |  |  |
|-----------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Document number | PC00085F  | <b>Document source</b> | FIPA Agent Management |  |  |
| Document status | Preliminary   | Date of this status    | 2000/08/16            |  |  |
| Supersedes      | None  |                        |                       |  |  |
| Contact         | management@fipa.org   |                        |                       |  |  |
| Change history  |   |                        |                       |  |  |
| 2000/05/25      | Initial draft   |                        |                       |  |  |
| 2000/06/16      | Updated the DTD to resolve some ambiguities and updated example accordingly. Replaced href attribute with value. Modified the AID object semantics in order to permit the name field to contain a URL and to clearly specify the name of the user-defined properties.   |                        |                       |  |  |
| 2000/06/19      | Added a notes appendix temporarily to keep track of issues related to the specification. Minor phrasing edits.  |                        |                       |  |  |
| 2000/06/21      | Modified the semantics of user-defined for AID level and top level. Updated DTD and examples.   |                        |                       |  |  |
| 2000/06/29      | Made use of user defined parameters more clear; changed a-id to agent-identifier; changed a-id-user-defined to aid-user-defined   |                        |                       |  |  |
| 2000/07/21      | Changed the names of content-* parameters to be consistent with 00067 specification. Change envelope-to and –from to "to" and "from". Deleted Section 2.2. on lexical analysis. Removed user-defined elements in envelope (user may define a new tag instead) and in the AID (now unsupported). Removed transport behaviour sub-elements (transport-errors etc.) which are not supported in other syntaxes. Relative time removed for consistency with other specifications |                        |                       |  |  |
| 2000/08/16      | Changed the url and name tags from attribute to start/end form. Added specification for the envelope MIME type and on the body / envelope separation. Added some more references.   |                        |                       |  |  |

© 2000 Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents - http://www.fipa.org/

Geneva, Switzerland

#### **Notice**

Use of the technologies described in this specification may infringe patents, copyrights or other intellectual property rights of FIPA Members and non-members. Nothing in this specification should be construed as granting permission to use any of the technologies described. Anyone planning to make use of technology covered by the intellectual property rights of others should first obtain permission from the holder(s) of the rights. FIPA strongly encourages anyone implementing any part of this specification to determine first whether part(s) sought to be implemented are covered by the intellectual property of others, and, if so, to obtain appropriate licenses or other permission from the holder(s) of such intellectual property prior to implementation. This specification is subject to change without notice. Neither FIPA nor any of its Members accept any responsibility whatsoever for damages or liability, direct or consequential, which may result from the use of this specification.

#### **Foreword**

The Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) is an international organisation that is dedicated to promoting the industry of intelligent agents by openly developing specifications supporting interoperability among agents and agent-based applications. This occurs through open collaboration among its member organisations, which are companies and universities that are active in the field of agents. FIPA makes the results of its activities available to all interested parties and intends to contribute its results to the appropriate formal standards bodies.

The members of FIPA are individually and collectively committed to open competition in the development of agent-based applications, services and equipment. Membership in FIPA is open to any corporation and individual firm, partnership, governmental body or international organisation without restriction. In particular, members are not bound to implement or use specific agent-based standards, recommendations and FIPA specifications by virtue of their participation in FIPA.

The FIPA specifications are developed through direct involvement of the FIPA membership. The status of a specification can be either Preliminary, Experimental, Standard, Deprecated or Obsolete. More detail about the process of specification may be found in the FIPA Procedures for Technical Work. A complete overview of the FIPA specifications and their current status may be found in the FIPA List of Specifications. A list of terms and abbreviations used in the FIPA specifications may be found in the FIPA Glossary.

FIPA is a non-profit association registered in Geneva, Switzerland. As of January 2000, the 56 members of FIPA represented 17 countries worldwide. Further information about FIPA as an organisation, membership information, FIPA specifications and upcoming meetings may be found at http://www.fipa.org/.

#### Contents

| 1 | 5   | Sco                             | pe                      | . 1 |  |
|---|-----|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--|
| 2 | )   | ΧML                             | Envelope Representation | . 2 |  |
|   | 2.1 |                                 | Component Name          | . 2 |  |
|   |     |                                 | Mime type               |     |  |
|   |     |                                 | Syntax                  |     |  |
|   |     |                                 | Additional Syntax Rules |     |  |
|   |     |                                 | Representation of Time  |     |  |
| 3 | F   | Refe                            | erences                 | . 5 |  |
|   |     | Informative Annex A – Examples6 |                         |     |  |
|   |     | Informative Annex B – Notes     |                         |     |  |

# 1 Scope

This document is part of the FIPA specifications and deals with message transportation between inter-operating agents. This document also forms part of the FIPA Agent Management Specification [FIPA00023] and contains specifications for:

• Syntactic representation of a message envelope in XML form (see [W3Cxml]).

## 2 XML Envelope Representation

This section gives the concrete syntax for the message envelope specification that must be used to transport messages over a Message Transport Protocol (MTP - see [FIPA00067]). This concrete syntax is designed to complement [FIPA00071] and [FIPA00084].

#### 2.1 Component Name

The name assigned to this component is:

```
fipa.mts.env.rep.xml.std
```

#### 2.2 Mime type

Where required, the MIME type (see [RFC2046] of items generated according to this specification is taken to be application/xml. The charset encoding used in this section must conform to [W3Cxml].

#### 2.3 Syntax

The following DTD specifies the encoding of the abstract FIPA specification as an XML message:

```
<!--
Document Type: XML DTD
Document Purpose: Encoding of FIPA ACL message envelopes (as in [FIPA0067]).
See http://www.fipa.org
Last Revised: 2000-08-16
-->
<!ELEMENT
                                     (params+)>
              envelope
<!ELEMENT
                                     (to?,
             params
                                      from?,
                                      comments?,
                                      acl-representation?,
                                      payload-length?,
                                      payload-encoding?,
                                      date?,
                                      encrypted?,
                                      intended-receiver?,
                                      received? )>
<!ATTLIST
                                      index CDATA #REQUIRED>
             params
<!ELEMENT
                                     (agent-identifier+)>
              to
<!ELEMENT
             from
                                     (agent-identifier)>
<!ELEMENT
              acl-representation
                                     ( #PCDATA )>
<!ELEMENT
              comments
                                     ( #PCDATA )>
<!ELEMENT
             payload-length
                                     ( #PCDATA )>
<!ELEMENT
             payload-encoding
                                     ( #PCDATA )>
```

| ELEMENT</th <th>date</th> <th>( #PCDATA )&gt;</th>  | date                           | ( #PCDATA )>   |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| ELEMENT</td <td>encrypted</td> <td>( #PCDATA )&gt;</td>   | encrypted                      | ( #PCDATA )>   |
| ELEMENT</td <td>intended-receiver</td> <td>(agent-identifier+)&gt;</td>   | intended-receiver              | (agent-identifier+)>   |
| ELEMENT</td <td>agent-identifier</td> <td><pre>( name,   addresses?,   resolvers? )&gt;</pre></td>  | agent-identifier               | <pre>( name,   addresses?,   resolvers? )&gt;</pre>  |
| ELEMENT</td <td>name</td> <td>( #PCDATA )&gt;</td>  | name                           | ( #PCDATA )>   |
| ELEMENT</td <td>addresses</td> <td>(url+)&gt;</td>  | addresses                      | (url+)>  |
| ELEMENT</td <td>url</td> <td>( #PCDATA )&gt;</td>   | url                            | ( #PCDATA )>   |
| ELEMENT</td <td>resolvers</td> <td><pre>( agent-identifier+ )&gt;</pre></td>  | resolvers                      | <pre>( agent-identifier+ )&gt;</pre>   |
| ELEMENT</td <td>received</td> <td><pre>( received-by,   received-from?,   received-date,   received-id?,   received-via? )&gt;</pre></td> | received                       | <pre>( received-by,   received-from?,   received-date,   received-id?,   received-via? )&gt;</pre> |
| ELEMENT<br ATTLIST</td <td>received-by received-by</td> <td>EMPTY&gt; value CDATA #IMPLIED&gt;</td>                                       | received-by received-by        | EMPTY> value CDATA #IMPLIED>   |
| ELEMENT</td <td>received-from received-from</td> <td>EMPTY&gt; value CDATA #IMPLIED&gt;</td>  | received-from received-from    | EMPTY> value CDATA #IMPLIED>   |
| ELEMENT</td <td>received-date<br/>received-date</td> <td>EMPTY&gt; value CDATA #IMPLIED&gt;</td>  | received-date<br>received-date | EMPTY> value CDATA #IMPLIED>   |
| ELEMENT</td <td>received-id<br/>received-id</td> <td>EMPTY&gt; value CDATA #IMPLIED&gt;</td>  | received-id<br>received-id     | EMPTY> value CDATA #IMPLIED>   |
| ELEMENT</td <td>received-via<br/>received-via</td> <td>EMPTY&gt; value CDATA #IMPLIED&gt;</td>  | received-via<br>received-via   | EMPTY> value CDATA #IMPLIED>   |

### 2.4 Additional Syntax Rules

The following additional rules not specified in the DTD also apply:

- 1. [FIPA00067] requires that all changes made to a message envelope by one message processing step (e.g. handling of the message by a single ACC) be attributable to the message processor that made the changes. This is achieved in the XML envelope by grouping all changes made by one message processor (ACC) at one point in time into a single PARAMS element.
- 2. There is no need to add envelope parameter values to a new PARAMS element if the values of these parameters is not being updated. Only parameters whose value is being changed need be included. The meaning of a PARAMS statement containing two elements defining new values for the same envelope parameter is undefined.

- 3. This specification permits multiple occurrences of unique message envelope-level parameters (:to, :from, :intended-receiver, :date, :acl-representation, :encrypted, :payload-length, :received :transport-behaviour etc.) in order to handle field value overwriting as specified in [FIPA00067]. To help obtain the latest (and currently valid) value of any parameter, the INDEX attribute of the PARAMS element is used to establish an order of the different occurrences of elements (and hence envelope parameters). The first and oldest occurrence of the element will have an INDEX value of 1, the next value of the field will have INDEX value of 2 and so on.
- 4. When adding a new PARAMS element, the INDEX attribute will have a value with 1 higher than the largest existing INDEX of any PARAMS element currently in the envelope. The first PARAMS element will have the INDEX value of 1.
- 5. The current value of any envelope-level field will be given by the value of the field as it appears in the newest PARAMS element that contains that field.
- 6. The following pseudo code algorithm may be used to obtain the latest values for each of the envelope parameters:

EnvelopeWithAllFields contains now the latest values for all its fields set in the envelope.

#### 2.5 Representation of Time

Time tokens are based on [ISO8601], with extensions for relative time and millisecond duration's. Time expressions may be absolute, or relative to the current time. If no type designator is given, the local time zone is used. The type designator for UTC is the character z. UTC is preferred to prevent time zone ambiguities. Note that years must be encoded in four digits. As examples, 8:30am on April 15th, 1996 local time would be encoded as:

19960415T083000000

The same time in UTC would be:

19960415T083000000Z

#### 3 References

[FIPA00023] FIPA Agent Management Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.

http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00023/

[FIPA00067] FIPA Agent Message Transport Service Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.

http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00067/

[FIPA00069] FIPA ACL Message Representation in Bit-Efficient Encoding Specification. Foundation for Intelligent

Physical Agents, 2000.

http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00069/

[FIPA00070] FIPA ACL Message Representation in String Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents,

2000.

http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00070/

[FIPA00071] FIPA ACL Message Representation in XML Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents,

2000.

http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00071/

[FIPA00075] Agent Message Transport Protocol for IIOP. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.

http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00075/

[FIPA00084] FIPA Agent Message Transport Protocol for HTTP Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical

Agents, 2000.

http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00084/

[ISO8601] Date Elements and Interchange Formats, Information Interchange-Representation of Dates and Times.

International Standards Organisation, 1998.

http://www.iso.ch/cate/d15903.html

[RFC2046] Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types, Freed and Borenstein, November

1996.

http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2046.txt

[W3Cxml] Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 Specification (Recommendation). World Wide Web Consortium,

1998.

http://www.w3c.org/TR/REC-xml/

# Informative Annex A – Examples

Here is a simple example of an envelope conforming to the DTD described in Section 2.3:

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<envelope>
  <params index="1">
    <to>
      <agent-identifier>
        <name>receiver@foo.com</name>
        <addresses>
          <url>http://foo.com/acc</url>
        </addresses>
      </agent-identifier>
    </to>
    <from>
      <agent-identifier>
        <name>sender@bar.com</name>
        <addresses>
          <url>http://bar.com/acc</url>
        </addresses>
      </agent-identifier>
    </from>
    <acl-representation>fipa.acl.rep.xml.std</acl-representation>
    <date>20000508T042651481</date>
    <encrypted>no encryption</encrypted>
    <received >
      <received-by value="http://foo.com/acc" />
      <received-date value="20000508T042651481" />
      <received-id value="123456789" />
    </received>
  </params>
</envelope>
2. Here is an example which covers all the aspects described in Section 2.3:
<?xml version="1.0"?>
  <params index="1">
```

```
<envelope>
  <to>
    <agent-identifier>
      <name>receiver@foo.com</name>
      <addresses>
```

```
<url>http://foo.com/acc</url>
    </addresses>
    <resolvers>
      <agent-identifier>
        <name>resolver@bar.com</name>
        <addresses>
          <url>http://bar.com/acc1</url>
          <url>http://:/bar.com/acc2</url>
          <url>http://bar.com/acc3</url>
        </addresses>
      </agent-identifier>
    </resolvers>
  </agent-identifier>
</to>
<from>
  <agent-identifier>
    <name>sender@bar.com</name>
    <addresses>
      <url>http://bar.com/acc</url>
    </addresses>
    <resolvers>
      <agent-identifier>
        <name>resolver@foobar.com</name>
        <addresses>
          <url>http://foobar.com/acc1</url>
          <url>http://foobar.com/acc2</url>
          <url>http://foobar.com/acc3</url>
        </addresses>
      </agent-identifier>
    </resolvers>
  </agent-identifier>
</from>
<comments>No comments!</comments>
<acl-representation>fipa.acl.rep.xml.std</acl-representation>
<payload-encoding>US-ASCII</payload-encoding>
<date>20000508T042651481</date>
<encrypted>no encryption</encrypted>
<intended-receiver>
  <agent-identifier>
    <name>intendedreceiver@foobar.com</name>
    <addresses>
      <url>http://foobar.com/acc1</url>
      <url>http://foobar.com/acc2</url>
      <url>http://foobar.com/acc3</url>
    </addresses>
    <resolvers>
      <agent-identifier>
        <name>resolver@foobar.com</name>
        <addresses>
```

```
<url>http://foobar.com/acc1</url>
            <url>http://foobar.com/acc2</url>
            <url>http://foobar.com/acc3</url>
          </addresses>
          <resolvers>
            <agent-identifier>
              <name>resolver@foobar.com</name>
              <addresses>
                <url>http://foobar.com/acc1</url>
                <url>http://foobar.com/acc2</url>
                <url>http://foobar.com/acc3</url>
              </addresses>
            </agent-identifier>
          </resolvers>
        </agent-identifier>
      </resolvers>
    </agent-identifier>
  </intended-receiver>
  <received>
    <received-by value="http://foo.com/acc" />
    <received-from value="http://foobar.com/acc" />
    <received-date value="20000508T042651481" />
    <received-id value="123456789" />
    <received-via value="http://bar.com/acc" />
  </received>
  </params>
</envelope>
```

3. Here is an example which also includes the MIME multipart encapsulation which might be used over HTTP (see [FIPA00084]:

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart-mixed ;
     boundary="-----251D738450A171593A1583EB"
This is not part of the MIME multipart encoded message.
----251D738450A171593A1583EB
Content-Type: application/xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<envelope>
  <params index="1">
    <to>
     <agent-identifier>
       <name>receiver@foo.com</name>
       <addresses>
         <url>http://foo.com/acc</url>
       </addresses>
     </agent-identifier>
    </to>
```

```
<from>
      <agent-identifier>
       <name>sender@bar.com</name>
        <addresses>
          <url>http://bar.com/acc</url>
       </addresses>
      </agent-identifier>
    </from>
    <acl-representation>fipa.acl.rep.xml.std</acl-representation>
    <payload-encoding>US-ASCII</payload-encoding>
    <date>20000508T042651481</date>
    <encrypted>no encryption</encrypted>
    <received >
      <received-by value="http://foo.com/acc" />
      <received-date value="20000508T042651481" />
      <received-id value="123456789" />
    </received>
  </params>
</envelope>1
----251D738450A171593A1583EB
Content-Type: application/text; charset=US-ASCII
... Some payload which doesn't end with a CRLF...
----251D738450A171593A1583EB--
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CRLF at the end of the XML Envelope

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  CRLF included in the boundary delimiter at the beginning

## 5 Informative Annex B - Notes

#### 1. Referencing

There is no specific reference in the FIPA XML envelope reference to the DTD specified in the in section 2.3, Syntax. This is due to the fact that tests have shown that there is no consistent behaviour of most common parser in handling a DOCTYPE specification. The most inconvenient fact is that even in the case of non-validation the parsers are trying to download the DTD from the specified URI.